

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,777	12/29/2000	Hiroyuki Morimoto	2500.6	3913
	90 02/07/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, SUSAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/647,777

Morimoto et al.

Examiner

Susan Tran

Art Unit **1615**

The MAILIN	IG DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time marker SIX (6) MON - If the period for reply be considered time - If NO period for reply communication. - Failure to reply within - Any reply received by	is specified above, the maximum statutory p	R 1.136 (a). In no extion. a reply within the stateriod will apply and vertage cause the an	rent, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status 1) Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is			
3\□ Since this an	plication is in condition for allowance e cordance with the practice under <i>Ex pai</i>	except for formal nate Quayle, 1935 (natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-2</u>	8		is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the abo	ove, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	8		
7) Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
8)		are su	bject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers			
• •	ation is objected to by the Examiner.		
	g(s) filed on is/are	objected to by th	e Examiner.
11) The propose	ed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	\square approved b) \square disapproved.
	declaration is objected to by the Exam		
a) ☑ All b) ☐ 1. ☑ Certific 2. ☐ Certific 3. ☐ Copies *See the attach	gement is made of a claim for foreign possible. Some* c) None of: ed copies of the priority documents have ded copies of the priority documents have sof the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure and detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been received. ve been received in locuments have be eau (PCT Rule 17.3 ne certified copies	n Application No een received in this National Stage 2(a)}. not received.
14) Acknowled	gement is made of a claim for domestic	; priority under 35	0.0.0. 3 110(0).
Attachment(s)		_	
15) X Notice of Reference		_	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosu	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/647,777

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Declaration filed 12/29/00, Preliminary Amendment A filed 12/29/00, and Corrected Filing Receipt filed 04/23/01.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "shape of the tablet is anomalous" in claim 22 is vague because the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired are unascertainable. It is suggested to amend the phrase to a specific shape, or further clarification is requested.

Claim 23 recites the phrase "average disintegrating time or average elution time" is indefinite because the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired are unascertainable. It is suggested to further clarify "average disintegrating time or average elution time".

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/647,777

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsushima et al. US 6,036,974.

Tsushima teaches a method for preparation of molded tablet comprising spray coat of lubricant to the punch (see steps A-D, columns 4-7; and examples 1-7). The tableting mixture comprising of medicines (active agents), binder, excipient, and wetting agent (column 4, lines 23-31). The lubricant to be coated on the surface of the tablet comprising magnesium stearate or talc (column 6, lines 51-62). Tsushima does not teach the percent weight of the lubricant coated on the surface of the tablet, however, since the claimed percent weight of the lubricant is so low, e.g., 0.0001%, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to by, routine experimentation determine a suitable amount of lubricant to obtain a smooth surface tablet having the desire hardness, and disintegration times.

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over .

Tsushima is relied upon for the reasons stated above. The reference is silent as to the dividing line on the tablet. However, the dividing line, groove line, marking line, or scored tablet

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/647,777

Art Unit: 1615

is well known in the art, therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to, by routine experimentation prepare a scored tablet, or tablet with dividing line.

4. Claims 1-14, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsushima et al., and Morimoto et al. EP 650 826 A1

Tsushima is relied upon for the reasons stated above. Tsushima does not teach lubricant is being sprayed in a spraying chamber mixed with pulsating vibration air.

Morimoto teaches a rotary type tabletting machine with lubricant spraying means comprising discloses in column 2, lines 31-58. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Tsushima's method using the spraying chamber mixed with pulsating vibration air. The reason for this modification is to obtain method suitable for molding tablet useful in pharmaceutical art.

Pertinent Arts

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vilkov, and Jain are cited as being of interest for the teaching of tablet containing coated lubricant.

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-5816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thruman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

THURMAN & PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600